Algebraic GT

## Homework 1: Cayley Graphs

Week 5

Mathcamp 2014

- 1. Draw the Cayley graph for the quaternion group  $\langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^2 = (ab)^2 \rangle$ .
- 2. Show that the dihedral group  $D_{2n}$  discussed in class can be expressed via the presentation  $\langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = (ab)^2 = 1 \rangle$ . Find its Cayley graph.
- 3. For any odd n, find a group G with generating set S such that its Cayley graph is an oriented  $K_n$ . (An **oriented**  $K_n$  is just a copy of the complete graph  $K_n$  where we assign a direction to each edge. These graphs are also called **tournaments**.)
- 4. Let  $Q_n$  denote the graph corresponding to the *n*-dimensional unit cube. Find a group G with generating set S such that its Cayley graph is the unoriented graph  $Q_n$ . (By an **unoriented** graph, we are asking that whenever we have an edge (x, y) in our Cayley graph, we want to also have the reverse edge (y, x).)
- 5. In class, we claimed that any Cayley graph must be vertex-transitive<sup>1</sup>. Prove that any Cayley graph is a vertex-transitive graph.
- 6. In class, we claimed that the converse of 5 fails: specifically, that the Petersen graph is vertex-transitive, and that no group/generating set pair can generate the Petersen graph as its Cayley graph. Prove this claim.
- 7. What platonic solids, thought of as undirected graphs, can be realized as Cayley graphs?
- 8. The following facts about cosets of a subgroup H of a group G are true:
  - (a) For any  $s \in G$ , the right coset Hs is equal to H if and only if  $s \in H$ .
  - (b) Two cosets Hs, Ht are either completely identical or completely disjoint.
  - (c) If K is a coset and we form the set  $Ks = \{k \cdot s \mid k \in K\}$ , this set is also a coset.
  - (d) The various possible cosets of H partition G into a collection of disjoint subsets. (In particular, this proves that the number of elements in H must divide the number of elements in G.)
  - (e) If K is a coset of H and k is any element in K, then Hk = K.

Do whatever you need to do to persuade yourself that these facts hold!

**Definition.** Given two graphs  $G_1, G_2$  with vertex sets  $V_1, V_2$  and edge sets  $E_1, E_2$ , we say that a function  $f: V_1 \to V_2$  is an **isomorphism** if the following two properties hold: (1) f is a bijection, and(2) (x, y) is an edge in  $E_1$  if and only if (f(x), f(y)) is an edge in  $E_2$ . An **automorphism** on a graph G is an isomorphism from that graph to itself.

Using this definition, we say that a graph G is **vertex-transitive** if given any two vertices  $v_1, v_2$  of G, there is an automorphism f on G such that  $f(v_1) = v_2$ . In essence, vertex-transitive graphs have a lot of symmetry: up to the labeling, we cannot distinguish any two vertices.