Proof Techniques	Instructor: Padraic Bartlett
]	lomework 2
Week 1	Mathcamp 2012

Homework instructions: many of the problems below are labeled with the tags (\*) or (+). (\*) denotes that the problem in question is fairly fundamental to the topics we're studying and is something that you should make sure you understand completely, while (+) denotes a problem that may be much harder than some of the others on the set.

This class is homework-required! What this means is that I'm expecting you to try every problem, to solve almost all of the (\*) ones, and most of the non-(+) ones. The (+) ones are certainly problems you are capable of solving, and I want you solve some of these! But they will not be as necessary for your ability to survive and thrive in later lectures, and I don't expect people to solve all of them. If you get stuck, or see a typo, find me! I can offer tons of hints and corrections. HW will be handed in at the start of class every week; I'll try to look over solutions in between classes, and come up with comments.

- 1. Let x, y be a pair of irrational numbers: i.e. elements in  $\mathbb{R}$  that are not elements of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Either prove that the following statements are true, or disprove them by finding a pair of elements x, y that show that this statement is false:
  - x + y is irrational.
  - $x \cdot y$  is irrational.
- 2. [(\*)] Prove, using only the axioms that we listed for  $\mathbb{Z}$  (i.e. the ring axioms along with the ordering axioms) that  $x^2 > 0$ , for any x.
- 3. [(\*)] Prove, using only the axioms that we listed for  $\mathbb{Q}$  (i.e. the field axioms along with the ordering axioms) that for any x, y > 0 in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \cdot x > y$ .
- 4. Prove that  $\sqrt{3} \sqrt{5}$  is an irrational number: i.e. that  $\sqrt{3} \sqrt{5}$  is not an element of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- 5. [(\*)], [(+)] Take any two elements  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that p < q. Is there always an element  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that p < x < q and  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ? Prove your claim.

Similarly, take any two elements  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  such that p < q. Is there always an element  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that p < x < q? Prove your claim.

Our last few questions deal with the concept of **groups**, which we define here. Students currently in a group theory class should only do the problems that are new to them / don't overlap with work they've already done.

**Definition.** Take a set G, along with an operation  $\cdot$  that gives you some way to "combine" two elements in your group into a new element. Suppose that this operation + satisfies the following four properties that the integers,  $\mathbb{Z}$ , also did with respect to +: namely,

- Closure(+):  $\forall a, b \in G$ , we have  $a + b \in G$ .
- Identity(+):  $\exists 0 \in G$  such that  $\forall a \in G, 0 + a = a$ .
- Associativity(+):  $\forall a, b, c \in G, (a+b) + c = a + (b+c).$
- Inverses(+):  $\forall a \in G, \exists a unique (-a) \in G \text{ such that } a + (-a) = 0.$

We call this kind of thing a **group**: in class, we claimed that  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ ,  $\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$ , and  $\langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$  were all groups.

- 6. [(\*)] Given the above examples, you might think that all groups contain infinitely many elements. This is false! Take the following object:
  - Your set is the numbers  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\}$ .
  - Your operation is the operation "addition mod 12," or "clock arithmetic," defined as follows: we say that  $a + b \cong c \mod 12$  if the two integers a + b and c differ by a multiple of 12. Another way of thinking of this is as follows: take a clock, and replace the 12 with a 0. To find out what the quantity a + b is, take your clock, set the hour hand so that it points at a, and then advance the clock b hours; the result is what we call a + b.

For example,  $3 + 5 \equiv 8 \mod 12$ , and  $11 + 3 \equiv 2 \mod 12$ .

We denote this object as  $\langle \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ . Show that this is a group.

- 7. [(\*)] Generalize this to  $\langle \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$  as follows:
  - Your set is the numbers  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ .
  - Your operation is the operation "addition mod *n*," defined as follows: we say that  $a + b \equiv c \mod n$  if the two integers a + b and *c* differ by a multiple of *n*. For example, for n = 3, we would say that  $2 + 2 \equiv 3 \mod 1$ , and  $2 + 1 = 0 \mod 3$ . Similarly, for n = 10, we say that  $5 + 6 \equiv 1 \mod 10$  and  $7 + 7 \equiv 4 \mod 10$ .

Show that this is a group.

8. Similarly, we can define the operation "multiplication mod n" by saying that  $a \cdot b \equiv c \mod n$  if  $a \cdot b$  and c differ by a multiple of n, and the set  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$  as the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots n - 1\}$ .

Is  $\langle (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}, \cdot \rangle$  a group? How about  $\langle (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}, \cdot \rangle$ ?

- 9. [(+)] The one axiom for arithmetic we didn't mention when talking about groups was the concept of **commutativity**:
  - Commutativity(·):  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}, a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ .

Find a group  $\langle G, \cdot \rangle$  that does not satisfy this property. What is the smallest group (in terms of numbers of elements) that exists that does not satisfy this property?