

Lecture 2: Binomial Coefficients and Stirling Numbers

Week 1 of 1

Mathcamp 2010

Question 1 Let $f(n, k)$ denote the number of ways of picking k elements out of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. What is the generating function for $f(n, k)$ if we fix n ? How about k ? What if we fix neither? What's an explicit form for $f(n, k)$?

Answer: So: temporarily, for the purposes of this question, forget that we know that $f(n, k) = \binom{n}{k}$. How can we create a generating function for these objects?

Well: first, notice that we have the recurrence relation

$$f(n, k) = f(n - 1, k) + f(n - 1, k - 1).$$

Why is this? Well, pick some way of choosing k elements out of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. There are two possibilities: either we picked n , or we didn't! If we did, then ignoring the n gives us a way of picking $k - 1$ elements out of a set of $n - 1$ objects; if we didn't, then we simply picked k objects out of a set of $n - 1$ elements. Summing over all of the ways of choosing k elements out of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ then gives us our desired result.

Also: notice that $f(n, 0) = 1$, for all positive n , (as there's always exactly one way to not pick anything from a set), that $f(n, k) = 0$ for all negative n, k (as there's no way to pick a negative number of things, or have a set with a negative number of elements,) and that $f(n, k) = 0$ if $k > n$ (as there's no way to pick more than n things out of a set of n elements.)

So: look at the generating function acquired by fixing n ,

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(n, k)x^k.$$

Applying our recurrence relation to the above, then, yields

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(n, k)x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f(n - 1, k) + f(n - 1, k - 1))x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(n - 1, k)x^k + x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(n - 1, k - 1)x^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(n - 1, k)x^k + \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} f(n - 1, k)x^k && \text{(b/c } f(-1, k) = 0) \\ &= B_{n-1}(x) + xB_{n-1}(x) \\ &= (1 + x)B_{n-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, because $B_0(x) = 1$ (shown via our boundary conditions,) we have via induction that

$$B_n(x) = (1 + x)^n.$$

Using this, then, we can find $f(n, k)$ by extracting the coefficient of x^k in this power series! To do this,

- simply take k derivatives of $B_n(x)$ to kill off all of the terms with degree $< k$,
- evaluate the resulting power series at 0 to eliminate all of the terms with degree $> k$, and finally
- divide by $k!$ to cancel out the constant factor acquired by taking k derivatives of x^k .

(It bears noting that this process will work on any power series! As such, it's a useful trick to have up your sleeve.)

So: doing this to $B_n(x)$ yields the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} (B_n(x)) \Big|_0 \cdot \frac{1}{k!} &= \frac{d^k}{dx^k} ((1 + x)^n) \Big|_0 \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \\ &= (n)(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) \cdot (1+x)^{n-k} \Big|_0 \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \\ &= (n)(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) \cdot (1) \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \\ &= \frac{(n)(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{k!} \\ &= \frac{n!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \end{aligned}$$

So: we've rederived the binomial coefficient! Awesome.

However: when we were deciding on a generating function to use, we chose to arbitrarily fix n and look at $f(n, k)$ as a function of k . Why not n ? Or, for that matter, why not both?

Well: one answer is that it seemed easier to deal with a fixed n , because it made our sum finite and fairly simple. However, it bears noting that we can easily do this in either way! For example, consider the **multivariable generating function** for $f(n, k)$ given by

$$C(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(n, k) x^k y^n.$$

What is this function?

Well: by grouping terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(x, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(n, k) x^k y^n \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(n, k) x^k \right) y^n \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1+x)^n y^n \\
 &= \frac{1}{1-y(1+x)}
 \end{aligned}$$

(In general, we define a multivariable ordinary generating function for some sequence $f(n, k)$ precisely as we just did above.)

So: we can find an elegant form for the multivariable generating function for $f(n, k)$. How about the generating function

$$A_k(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n, k) y^n?$$

Well: re-examine $C(x, y)$. By rearranging sums, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(x, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(n, k) x^k y^n \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n, k) y^n x^k \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n, k) y^n \right) x^k \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(y) x^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

So: the generating function $A_k(y)$ is just the coefficient of x^k in $C(x, y)$ – something we can easily find! Specifically, let the expression $[x^k]g(x)$ denote the coefficient of x^k in the

formal power series denoted by $g(x)$. Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_k(y) &= [x^k] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(y)x^k \\
 &= [x^k] \frac{1}{1-y(1+x)} \\
 &= [x^k] \frac{1}{1-y} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{y}{1-y}\right)(x)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{1-y} [x^k] \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{y}{1-y}\right)(x)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{1-y} [x^k] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{y}{1-y}\right)^n x^n \\
 &= \frac{1}{1-y} \left(\frac{y}{1-y}\right)^k
 \end{aligned}$$

So:

Question 2 Similarly: let $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}$ denote the number of ways of partitioning the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into k nonempty pieces. What is the generating function for $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}$ if we fix n ? How about k ? What if we fix neither?

Answer: So, the methods we use here are almost identical to the ones we developed above.

First, we develop a recurrence relation for these numbers. Take any partition P of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into k pieces. Then, one of two cases occur:

- $\{n\} \in P$. In this case, deleting n from our partition leaves a partition of $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ into $k-1$ parts.
- In P , n is in a set with other elements. In this case, deleting n leaves a partition of $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ into k pieces; furthermore, we acquire each such partition in k different ways, as n can be live in any of the k pieces of P , and deleting n in any of these k situations will always result in the same partition of $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Thus, we have the recurrence relation

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{matrix} \right\} + k \left\{ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

Again, as before, we have (by considering various edge cases) $\left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right\} = 1$, $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right\} = 0$, and $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} = 0$ whenever $n < 0, k < 0$.

We now have 3 possible generating functions to consider:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_n(y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} y^k \\
 B_k(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} x^n \\
 C(x, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} x^n y^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Which should we choose? Well: one strong motivation for studying $B_k(x)$ is that it will allow us to fix k , which should make applying our recursion much easier! We apply the recursion below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_k(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} x^n \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\left\{ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{matrix} \right\} + k \left\{ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} \right) x^n \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{matrix} \right\} x^n + k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} x^n \\
 &= xB_{k-1}(x) + kxB_k(x) \\
 \Rightarrow B_k(x) &= \frac{x}{1-kx} B_{k-1}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Again, applying $B_0(x) = 1$, we have finally that

$$B_k(x) = \frac{x^k}{(1-x) \cdot (1-2x) \cdots (1-kx)}$$

So: we have a generating function! On the HW this week, we explore how to use this to find an exact form for $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}$, and what happens if we try to look at some of the other generating functions for the Stirling numbers of the second kind – so attempt those problems if you're still curious!