



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Thus, because $-1 \neq 1$, we have that the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sin(1/x)$ cannot exist, as claimed.

□

Question 3.2. Can you find a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that's discontinuous everywhere?

Proof. (Again, as this question is apparently #3 on your problem set this week, this example has been redacted as well. Sorry!)

[REDACTED]

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q}, \text{ and} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

$$a - \frac{1}{n} < q_n < a, \text{ and } a - \frac{1}{n} < \beta_n < a.$$

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(q_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 = 1, \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\beta_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0.$$

As this is impossible, we conclude that the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ cannot exist, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Question 3.3. Can you find a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that's

- continuous at every irrational point $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, but
- discontinuous at every rational point $q \in \mathbb{Q}$?

Proof. (Un-redacted math! yay!) As it turns out: yes! Consider the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q}, & \text{if } x = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } GCD(p, q) = 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}; \\ 1, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Take any rational point $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$; we claim that our function is discontinuous at $\frac{a}{b}$. To see this, we proceed in a similar fashion to our earlier example: specifically, using the density of the irrational numbers in \mathbb{R} , choose a sequence $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of irrational numbers such that

$$\beta_n \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}, \text{ and } \frac{a}{b} - \frac{1}{n} < \beta_n < \frac{a}{b}.$$

By construction, this sequence converges to $\frac{a}{b}$. However, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\beta_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0;$$

thus, by our lemma, if a limit exists at $\frac{a}{b}$, it must be 0. However, if we look at our function f at $\frac{a}{b}$, we can see that $f\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{1}{b}$ if $\frac{a}{b} \neq 0$, and 1 if $\frac{a}{b} = 0$; as neither of these values is equal to 0, we know that our function must be discontinuous at 0.

That finishes half of our proof; the remaining half, then, is in proving that for any irrational number $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = 0.$$

To see this: start by assuming that $a \in [0, 1]$ (at the end of the proof, we'll extend this result to any irrational a .)

Let the sequence $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ consist of all of the rational numbers in $[0, 1]$ ordered by the size of their denominators: i.e.

$$\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left\{ 0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, \dots \right\}$$

Because a is irrational, we know that the distance $|a - q_n| \neq 0$, for any n : so, define $\gamma_n = |a - q_n|$ to be that distance, for every n , and let

$$\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \dots\}$$

To prove that our function is continuous at a : pick any $\epsilon > 0$, and choose N such that $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$. Then, if we want to keep our function $f(x)$ within at least $\frac{1}{N}$

of 0, we just need to insure that all of our x -values are either irrational or have denominators $\geq N$; i.e. we need to pick values of x such that

$$x \notin \left\{ 0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{N}, \dots, \frac{N-1}{N} \right\}.$$

Let Q_N denote the above collection of all rational numbers in $[0, 1]$ with denominators $\leq N$. Let Γ_N denote the associated set of distances γ_i to this set Q_N : i.e.

$$\Gamma_N = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\text{something}}\}.$$

Γ_N is a finite set: so it has a minimum $\gamma > 0$.

Set $\delta = \min(\gamma, a, 1 - a)$: then, for any x with $|x - a| < \delta$, we have that

- $x > 0$, because $\delta \leq a$,
- $1 > x$, because $\delta \leq 1 - a$, and
- if x is rational, the denominator of x is strictly greater than N : this is because $|x - a| < \delta \leq \gamma$, and any rational number in $[0, 1]$ with denominator $\leq N$ is at least γ away from a .

Thus, we either have that x is rational, is in $[0, 1]$, and has denominator strictly greater than N (in which case $|f(x)| < 1/N < \epsilon$), or we have that x is irrational (in which case $|f(x)| = 0 < \epsilon$.) In either case, we have found a δ such that whenever $|x - a| < \delta$, $|f(x) - 0| < \epsilon$; so this function is continuous at any irrational a in $[0, 1]$.

To extend this result to any irrational a : if a is in some interval $[n, n + 1]$, simply translate all of the relevant parts in this proof by n . All of our calculations go through as before: thus, we have in fact that our function is continuous at any irrational value a . So we're done!

□