# MATH 8, SECTION 1, WEEK 4 - RECITATION NOTES

#### TA: PADRAIC BARTLETT

ABSTRACT. These are the notes from Friday, Oct. 22nd's lecture. In this talk, we wrap up a number of loose ends relating to continuity and limits, discussing one-sided limits, limits at infinity, the intermediate value theorem, and the concepts of open, closed, and bounded sets.

### 1. RANDOM QUESTION

**Question 1.1.** Can you find a function  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that

- f is continuous,
- f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1, and
- f takes on every value in the interval (0,1) exactly once? Twice? Three times? n times? Infinitely many times?

Today's lecture is kind of a grab-bag of topics; where Monday and Wednesday's lectures were devoted to exploring a pair of complicated topics slowly and carefully, most of the ideas in today's lecture are relatively short and sweet. Consequently, we'll move at a faster pace; there are about four concepts that we should cover today, each of which is hopefully a little related to the others and should be useful in your study of limits and continuity.

## 2. One-Sided Limits

Let's start with something fairly elementary: the concept of a **one-sided limit**:

**Definition 2.1.** For a function  $f: X \to Y$ , we say that

$$\lim_{x \to a^+} f(x) = L$$

if and only if

- (1) (vague:) as x goes to a from the right-hand-side, f(x) goes to L.
- (2) (concrete, symbols:)

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in X, (|x - a| < \delta \text{ and } x > a) \Rightarrow (|f(x) - L| < \epsilon).$$

Similarly, we say that

$$\lim_{x \to a^{-}} f(x) = L$$

if and only if

- (1) (vague:) as x goes to a from the left-hand-side, f(x) goes to L.
- (2) (concrete, symbols:)

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in X, (|x - a| < \delta \text{ and } x < a) \Rightarrow (|f(x) - L| < \epsilon).$$

Basically, this is just our original definition of a limit except we're only looking at x-values on one side of the limit point a: hence the name "one-sided limit." Thus, our methods for calculating these limits are pretty much identical to the methods we introduced on Monday: we work one example below, just to reinforce what we're doing here.

Claim 2.2.

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{|x|}{x} = 1$$

Proof. First, examine the quantity

$$\frac{|x|}{x}.$$

For x > 0, we have that

$$\frac{|x|}{x} = 1;$$

therefore, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , it doesn't even matter what  $\delta$  we pick! – because for any x with 0 < x, we have that

$$\left|\frac{|x|}{x} - 1\right| = 0 < \epsilon.$$

Thus, the limit as  $\frac{|x|}{x}$  approaches 0 from the right hand side is 1, as claimed.  $\Box$ 

One-sided limits are particularly useful when we're discussing limits at infinity, as we describe in the next section:

#### 3. Limits at Infinity

**Definition 3.1.** For a function  $f: X \to Y$ , we say that

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty}f(x)=L$$

if and only if

- (1) (vague:) as x goes to "infinity," f(x) goes to L.
- (2) (concrete, symbols:)

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in X, (x > N) \Rightarrow (|f(x) - L| < \epsilon).$$

Similarly, we say that

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) = L$$

if and only if

- (1) (vague:) as x goes to "negative infinity," f(x) goes to L.
- (2) (concrete, symbols:)

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in X, (x < N) \Rightarrow (|f(x) - L| < \epsilon).$$

In class, we described a rather useful trick for calculating limits at infinity:

**Proposition 3.2.** For any function  $f: X \to Y$ ,

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \to 0^+} f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \to 0^-} f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

The use of this theorem is that it translates limits at infinity (which can be somewhat complex to examine) into limits at 0, which can be in some sense a lot easier to deal with: as opposed to worrying about what a function does at extremely large values, we can just consider what a different function does at rather small values (which can make our lives often a lot easier.)

Here's an example, to illustrate where this comes in handy:

### Claim 3.3.

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{3x^2 + \cos(34x) + 10^7 \cdot x}{2x^2 + 1} = \frac{3}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Motivated by our proposition above, let us subsitute 1/x for x, so that we have

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{3x^2 + \cos(34x) + 10^7 \cdot x}{2x^2 + 1} = \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{3(1/x)^2 + \cos(34/x) + 10^7 \cdot (1/x)}{2(1/x)^2 + 1}.$$

Multiplying both top and bottom by  $x^2$ , this limit is equal to

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{3 + x^2 \cos(34/x) + 10^7 \cdot x}{2 + x^2}.$$

Because limits play nicely with arithmetic, we know that the limit of this ratio is the ratio of the two limits  $3 + x^2 \cos(34/x) + 10^7 \cdot x$  and  $2 + x^2$ , if and only iff both limits exist.

But that's simple to see: because  $2 + x^2$  is a polynomial, it's continuous, and thus

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} 2 + x^2 = 2 + 0^2 = 2.$$

As well, because

$$3 - x^{2} + 1 - {^{7}} \cdot x \le 3 + x^{2} \cos(34/x) + 10^{7} \cdot x \le 3 + x^{2} + 1 - {^{7}} \cdot x,$$

and both of those polynomials converge to 3 as  $x \to 0^+$ , the squeeze theorem tells us that

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} 3 + x^2 \cos(34/x) + 10^7 \cdot x = 3$$

as well.

Thus, because both limits exist, we have that

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{3 + x^2 \cos(34/x) + 10^7 \cdot x}{2 + x^2} = \frac{\lim_{x \to 0^+} (3 + x^2 \cos(34/x) + 10^7 \cdot x)}{\lim_{x \to 0^+} (2 + x^2)} = \frac{3}{2},$$

as claimed.

One useful application of limits at infinity comes through studying the intermediate value theorem, which is the subject of our next section:

### TA: PADRAIC BARTLETT

#### 4. The Intermediate Value Theorem

**Theorem 4.1.** If f is a continuous function on [a, b], then f takes on every value between f(a) and f(b) at least once.

Most uses of this theorem occur when we have a continuous function f that takes on both positive and negative values on some interval; in this case, the intermediate value theorem tells us that this function must have a zero between each pair of sign changes. Basically, when you have a question that's asking you to find zeroes of a function, or to show that a function with prescribed endpoint behavior takes on some other values, the IVT is the way to go.

To illustrate this, consider the following example:

**Claim 4.2.** If p(x) is an odd-degree polynomial, it has a root in  $\mathbb{R}$  – *i.e.* there is some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that p(x) = 0.

Proof. Write

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \ldots + a_n x^n,$$

where n is an odd natural number and  $a_n > 0$ . (The case where  $a_n < 0$  is identical to the proof we're about to do if you flip all of the inequalities, so we omit it here by symmetry.)

Then, notice that

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{a_0 + \ldots + a_n x^n}{x^n} = \lim_{x \to +\infty} \left( \frac{a_0}{x^n} + \frac{a_1}{x^{n-1}} + \ldots + \frac{a_{n-1}}{x} + a_n \right)$$
$$= \lim_{x \to +\infty} \left( \frac{a_0}{x^n} \right) + \lim_{x \to +\infty} \left( \frac{a_1}{x^{n-1}} \right) + \ldots + \lim_{x \to +\infty} (a_n)$$
$$= 0 + \ldots + 0 + a_n$$
$$= a_n,$$

(where the second line is justified because all of the individual limits exist.)

As a result, we know that for large positive values of x,  $\frac{a_0+\ldots+a_nx^n}{x^n}$  is as close to  $a_n$  as we would like. Specifically, we know that for large values of x, we have that the distance between  $\frac{a_0+\ldots+a_nx^n}{x^n}$  and  $a_n$  is less than, say,  $a_n/2$ . As a consequence, we have specifically that  $\frac{a_0+\ldots+a_nx^n}{x^n}$  is **positive**, for large positive values of x – thus, for some large positive x, we have that

$$x^{n} \cdot \frac{a_{0} + \ldots + a_{n} x^{n}}{x^{n}} = (\text{positive}) \cdot (\text{positive}) = (\text{positive}).$$

Similarly, because

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} \frac{a_0 + \dots + a_n x^n}{x^n} = \lim_{x \to -\infty} \left( \frac{a_0}{x^n} + \frac{a_1}{x^{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{n-1}}{x} + a_n \right)$$
$$= \lim_{x \to -\infty} \left( \frac{a_0}{x^n} \right) + \lim_{x \to -\infty} \left( \frac{a_1}{x^{n-1}} \right) + \dots + \lim_{x \to -\infty} (a_n)$$
$$= 0 + \dots + 0 + a_n$$
$$= a_n,$$

we also have that for large **negative** values of x,  $\frac{a_0+\ldots+a_nx^n}{x^n}$  is as close to  $a_n$  as we'd like, and thus that  $\frac{a_0+\ldots+a_nx^n}{x^n}$  is **positive**, for large **negative** values of x.

Thus, for some large negative value of x, we have that

 $x^n \cdot \frac{a_0 + \ldots + a_n x^n}{x^n} = (\text{negative}) \cdot (\text{positive}) = (\text{negative}).$ 

(Notice that the fact that n was odd was used in the above calculation, to insure that x negative implies that  $x^n$  is negative.)

We have thus shown that our polynomial adopts at least one positive and one negative value: thus, by the intermediate value theorem, it must be 0 somewhere between these two values! Thus, our polynomial has a root, as claimed.  $\Box$ 

### 5. Open, Closed, and Bounded Sets

Finally, we make something of a detour here, to quickly define open, closed, and bounded sets:

**Definition 5.1.** A set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called **open** if for any  $x \in X$ , there is some neighborhood  $\delta_x$  of x such that the entire interval  $(x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x)$  lies in X. **Example 5.2.** 

- The sets  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\emptyset$  are both trivially open sets.
- Any open interval (a, b) is an open set.
- The union<sup>1</sup> of arbitrarily many open sets is open.
- The intersection  $^{2}$  of finitely many open sets is open.

**Definition 5.3.** A set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called **closed** if its complement<sup>3</sup> is open. **Example 5.4.** 

- The sets  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\emptyset$  are both trivially closed sets. Note that this means that some sets can be both open and closed!
- Any closed interval [a, b] is an closed set.
- The intersection of arbitarily many closed sets is closed.
- The union of finitely many closed sets is closed.

**Definition 5.5.** A set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded iff there is some value  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $-M \leq x \leq M$ , for any  $x \in X$ .

We will work more closely with these definitions in future lectures: however, for now, it suffices to note the following useful theorem, which we'll use heavily in our discussion of the derivative:

**Theorem 5.6.** (Extremal value theorem:) If  $f : X \to Y$  is a continuous function, and X is a closed and bounded subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then f attains its minima and maxima. In other words, there are values  $m, M \in X$  such that for any  $x \in X$ ,  $f(m) \leq f(x) \leq f(M)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The union  $X \cup Y$  of two sets X, Y is the set  $\{a : a \in X \text{ or } a \in Y, \text{ or both.}\}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The intersection  $X \cap Y$  of two sets X, Y is the set  $\{a : a \in X \text{ and } a \in Y.\}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The complement  $X^c$  of a set X is the set  $\{a : a \notin X\}$