# FINAL REVIEW NOTES 

PADRAIC BARTLETT, MA1B TA, WINTER 2009

## 1. Previous HW

Average was around $90 \%$ or so; there were only two things I wanted to stress for people going into the final.
(1) Always explain *why* something works! When concluding something, don't just write down that "the matrix is (blah)" - explain *why* it is (blah). The methods you use to arrive at your conclusions are far more important than your ability to multiply two-digit numbers successfully - yet, if you only write down a simple numeric answer and somewhere along the line a calculation went wrong, I as a grader cannot tell whether your problem came from something trivial (and should be penalized lightly) or from something grievously wrong. Words are your friends!
(2) When answering questions about a probability matrix $P$ with associated adjacency graph $G$, several of you invoked relations between the concepts of $G$ being strongly connected, $P^{m}>0$ for some $m$, and $\mathbf{P}$ 's stable vector being $>0$ that weren't always quite right. So I just want to clarify that here:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\exists m P^{m}>0\right) \Leftrightarrow\left(P^{\prime} s \text { stable vector being }>0\right) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{G} \text { being strongly } \\
\text { connected })
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. To see this: note that if $P^{m}$ is bigger than 0 for some $m$, then (from the notes/recitation) we know that there are paths of length $m$ between any two nodes in $G$, and thus that $G$ is strongly connected. As well, if $P^{m}$ is composed of strictly positive entries, by stringing together the paths of length $m$ we got above we have paths of length $k m$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ between any two nodes in $G$, and thus that $P^{k m}$ is $>0$ for all $k$. Then, because $P^{m}$ converges to the matrix composed of n copies of $P$ 's stability vector as $m$ goes to infinity, we have that $P$ 's stability vector must be $>0$, as $P^{m}$ is infintely often composed of strictly positive entries. Finally, note that if $P$ 's stability vector is composed of strictly positive numbers, we would have that eventually $P^{m}$ must be $>0$, as it approaches the matrix composed of n copies of the stability vector.

The important thing to take away from this is that

$$
(G \text { being strongly connected }) \nRightarrow\left(\exists m P^{m}>0\right) \text { : }
$$

to see an explicit example, take $P=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right): P$ has a strongly connected adjacency matrix, yet $P^{m}$ is always equal to either P or I , for any $m$.

## 2. Review topics!

So: here is a list of things (I think exhaustive, but don't hold me to it) that I think you'll need for the midterm.

- Characteristic polynomial: For a given matrix $M$, the polynomial of one variable $x$ given by $\operatorname{det}(M-x I)$.
- Eigenspaces:For a matrix $M$ and an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the eigenspace corresponding to $\lambda$ is the nullspace of $(M-\lambda I)$ : equivalently, it can be defined as the collection of all vectors $v$ such that $M v=\lambda v$.
- Eigenvalues: For a matrix $M$, eigenvalues are numbers $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{det}(M-\lambda I)=0$; equivalently, numbers $\lambda$ such that there exist nonzero vectors $v$ such that $M v=\lambda v$.
- Eigenvectors: For a given eigenvalue $\lambda$, an eigenvector is a vector in $\lambda$ 's associated eigenspace.
- Linear Programming: Know how to do it. Prof. Wilson's notes online are (I believe) rather clear and concise on the subject, so I'll let them stand as a reference - but if you have any questions, don't hesitate to contact me for clarification.
- Matrix, Diagonalizable: A matrix $M$ is diagonalizable if there is an invertible matrix $P$ and a diagonal matrix $E$ such that we can write $M=$ $P E P^{-1}$. Remember that $n \times n$ matrices are diagonalizable if and only if a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be made out of their eigenvectors.
- Matrix, Symmetric: A matrix $M$ is symmetric iff $M^{T}=M$. Symmetric matrices have several nice properties: namely, (1) they're diagonalizable, (2) two eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal, and (3) they're positive-(definite/semidefinite) iff their eigenvalues are all $(>/ \geq)$ than 0 .
- Multiplicity, Algebraic: For a matrix $M$ and for a given eigenvalue $\lambda$, the algebraic multiplicity is the exponent $n$ on the term $(x-\lambda)$ in the characteristic polynomial of $M$, after factoring the polynomial into the form $(x-\lambda)^{n} p(x)$, where $p(\lambda) \neq 0$.
- Multiplicity, Geometric: The dimension of the eigenspace corresponding to $\lambda$. Note that the algebraic and geometric multiplicities of eigenvalues can vary wildly: see HW 6 , problem 3 for an example of this.
- Positive-definite/semidefinite: A matrix $M$ is positive-definite iff for all real-valued vectors $x, x^{T} M x>0$; it's positive-semidefinite if $x^{T} M x$ is merely $\geq 0$ for all such $x$.
- Matrix, Digraphs associated to a: Know how to form the digraph associated to a matrix, as covered in week 9 ; know also the theorem we proved there that says that the $m, n$-th entry in $P^{k}$ is $>0$ iff there is a path of length $k$ between the $n$th and $m$ th nodes in $P$ 's adjacency graph.
- Projection: Know how to take projections, and how to use Gram-Schmidt to orthogonalize things. Look at the recitation notes from week 6 for an in-depth explanation of how to do this.
- Stable Vector: For a probability matrix $P$, a eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 , such that the sum of its entries is 1 . Recall that there is a unique stability vector if $P$ is regular (i.e. $P>0$,) and furthermore this stable vector will have all of its entries $>0$. (However, if $P$ is not regular,
there is no such guarantee, and for irregular probability matrices there are often multiple stable vectors.)


## 3. Random Questions

If you were in recitation, you saw me answer the coin problem on the infinte chessboard! If you were not in recitation, you should have been. :p If you're burningly curious, feel free to stop by or write and I can explain the basic idea, though I think some of you guys have more pressing concerns this week.

